#### AN ENERGY EFFICIENT GRAVITATIONAL MODEL FOR TREE BASED ROUTING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS







### **Presented By**

Md.Mahbubur Rahman Md. Shohrab Hossain Mohammad Mahfuzul Islam Husnu S. Narman

1

## • • • Outline

- Wireless Sensor Networks
- Overview of Routing Protocols
- Related Works
- Proposed Tree Clustering Approach
- Results & Analysis
- Conclusion and Future works



Figure 1: WSNs Architecture

## Applications

- Area monitoring
- Environmental monitoring
  - Air pollution monitoring
  - Forest fires detection
  - Greenhouse monitoring
  - Landslide detection
- Industrial monitoring
  - Machine health monitoring
- Water/wastewater monitoring
- Smart Agriculture



Source: http://slideplayer.com

### Wireless Sensor Network (WSN)

#### Challenges in WSN

- Energy Efficiency
- Scalability
- Throughput
- Delay
- Data Aggregation
- Security
- Coverage

#### Key factors for energy wastage

- $\circ$  Collision
- o Overhearing
- Retransmission
- o Idle listening



How to reduce energy consumption Two Way Idle Phase (Use of sleeping Mode)

6

#### Category the Routing Protocols of WSN categories the routing protocols of WSN Routing Protocol of WSN **Network Structure** Communication **Topology Based Reliable Routing** Sink **Hierarchical Routing** Flat Routing Cluster Chain Tree Atypical Grid Area

# Related Works:

- □ An Application-Specific Protocol Architecture for WSN (Heinzelman et.al,2002)
- Tree structure based data gathering for maximum lifetime in wireless sensor networks (Q. Zhang et. Al, 2005)
- □. A delay-constrain and maximum lifetime data gathering algorithm for wireless sensor networks (J.Liang et.al, 2009)
- □ An Efficient Tree-Based Power Saving Scheme for WSN With Mobile Sink(Chang et.al,2016)

## Efficient Tree-Based Power Saving Scheme for WSN With Mobile Sink(TRMS)



### Efficient Tree-Based Power Saving Scheme for WSN With Mobile Sink(TRMS)



Node ID	Dist <b>ânctæ¢</b> d) Distance (d)
1	155
2	256
36	1 <b>6</b> 8
144	3210
5	2244
Ø	1285
15	29
7	34
4	31
8	36
17	32
9	42
7	34
10 16	47 35
11	<sup>64</sup> 36
1 <u>2</u>	5 <sub>4</sub> 62
13	<sup>5</sup> <sup>8</sup> 7
11-22	2\$6
13	2598
1ð	3654
17 12/	<sup>2/2021</sup> 32

# Efficient Tree-Based Power Saving Scheme for WSN With Mobile Sink(TRMS)



# Efficient Tree-Based Power Saving Scheme for WSN With Mobile Sink(TRMS)



2. Though CH is selected based on average energy but there have a chance to become a low energy

4. GPS for all node is energy consuming.

node.

node to CH.

#### Problems in selection

□ Considering lower energy intermediate node can survive for the round or not

□ Most of the time low energy intermediate nodes die out within the round

## Critics

1. Criteria for selecting intermediate nodes is only distance from Sink so low energy node can

have a great chance to become intermediate





Die out of intermediate nodes causes:

- Packet Loss
- Possibility of disconnect
- □ Reduce Network Lifetime

Solution:

Tree structure can be rebuild by avoiding low energy node as for intermediate node.

Residual energy and distance both can be considered for build tree structure.

□ Distance can be measure from RSSI

# Motivation

✤ Lifetime of a node inversely propositional to its node-degree and distance.

- ✤ Lower-energy far node's can be avoid for being intermediate node.
- To construct a load balancing tree, higher energy nearby nodes should handle more traffic than the lower one by being intermediate node.

• Assumptions



Nodes use for different purpose

- Network is heterogeneous
- Nodes are randomly deployed
- Node can control its transmission power

Phases:

- 1. Setup Phase  $\rightarrow$  Network Formation
- 2. Steady State Phase → Network Operation



















Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection





Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection













Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection



else Make 7 as a CH 25

12/2/2021

γ



Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection













Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection



Connect(11,14) else Make 11 as a CH 29

12/2/2021

γ

 $\theta = \cos^{-1}$ 

Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection



Connect(12,11) else Make 12 as a CH 30

12/2/2021

γ

 $\theta = \cos^{-1}$ 



Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection





Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection



else Make 14 as a CH

12/2/2021

γ



Setup Phase: Cluster formation and Cluster Head selection



else Make 15 as a CH

12/2/2021

γ



**Final Network Structure** 



Steady State Phase : Transmission of Data



### **Result & Analysis**

#### • Radio Model



• Simulation Environment



# Result & Analysis

#### **Simulation Parameters**

Parameter	Value
	50 nJ/bit
<b>Energy for GPS receiver</b> $E_{GPS}$ )	20 nJ/bit/signal
Energy for data aggregation $(E_{CA})$	5 nJ/bit/signal
Initial energy of node( $E_{init}$ )	0.5 J(+/-)
<b>Communication energy</b> ( $\mathcal{E}_{fs}$ )	10 pJ/bit/
Communication energy $(\mathcal{E}_{mp})$	0.0013 pJ/bit/
Threshold value of distance $(d_0)$	87 m
Packet Size	512 bytes
Sensing area $(m \times m)$	200×200
36 Number of Nodes <sub>(N)</sub>	50



• First Node Die, Half Node Die and Last Node Die with respect to Round



For 50 Nodes



• Remaining energy of the network with respect to Round



• 80%-90% energy consumed in around 1000 rounds in LEACH and TRMS whereas only 60% energy consumed for Proposed system

# Conclusion & Future Work

#### • Achievements:

- Decrease the total energy lost per round
- Decrease path cost
- Removes bottlenecks of the network
- Increase the lifetime of the network

#### • Future Work

> To test this scheme with real world implementation



thank you!